A Data Mining Framework for Optimal Product Selection

K. Vanhoof and T.Brijs Department of Applied Economic Sciences Limburg University Centre Belgium

Outline

- Research setting
- Market basket analysis
- Model for product selection : Profset
- Problems and other approaches
- Generalized Profset
- Conclusions

Research Setting

Use product interdependency information to construct an optimisation **model** to select a **hitlist of products** that yields **maximum profits** based on their cross-selling effects incorporating business constraints and compare with product profitability heuristic

Market Basket Analysis

Let

- $I = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\}$ be the product assortment of the retail store
- *T* be a basket of products such that $T \subseteq I$
- *D* be a database of baskets *T*
- *X* be a set of items such that $X \subseteq I$
- Definition 1: support of an itemset

S(X, D) = the support of an itemset X in D, i.e. the fraction of transactions in D that contain X

- Definition 2: Frequent itemset
 - An itemset is called frequent in *D*, if its support exceeds a userpredefined threshold called *minimum support*

• Objective: Suppose you have 35 eye-level facings in the convenience store: determine which set of products yields maximum profits, taking into account cross-selling effects



- Criteria that influence profitability
 - Product margin
 - Product handling cost (restocking)
 - Product inventory costs (refrigerating, financial)
- Degrees of freedom
 - Number of facings (size of the hitlist)
- Model constraints
 - Which categories <u>must</u> be included
 - How many products of each category
 - Specify base products (e.g. to support store image)

- m(T): gross margin generated by transaction T $m(T) = \sum_{i \in T} (SP(i) - PP(i)) * f(i)$
- M(X): gross margin generated by frequent itemset X

$$M(X) = \sum_{T \in D} m'(T) \text{ with } \begin{cases} m'(T) = m(T) \text{ if } X = T \\ \\ m'(T) = 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• PROFSET model

- Let

- *L* be the set of all frequent itemsets
- Categories C_1, \ldots, C_n be sets of items
- $P_X, Q_i \in \{0,1\}$ decision variables to be optimized
- *Cost_i* be total cost (storage + handling) per product *i*

• Objective function

$$Max\left(\sum_{X\in L}M(X)P_X-\sum_{c=1}^n\sum_{i\in \mathcal{C}_c}Cost_iQ_i\right)$$

• Constraints

$$\sum_{c=1}^{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_{c}} Q_{i} = ItemMax$$
(1)

 $\forall X \in L, \forall i \in X : Q_i \ge P_X \tag{2}$

$$\forall C_c: \sum_{i \in C_c} Q_i \ge ItemMin_{C_c} \qquad (3)$$

- Suppose
 - $-X_1 = \{ \text{cola, peanuts} \}$ $M(X_1) = 10$
 - $-X_2 = \{\text{peanuts, cheese}\}$ $M(X_2)=20$
 - $-X_3 = \{\text{peanuts, beer}\}$ $M(X_3)=30$
 - Cost_{cola}=5, Cost_{peanuts}=3, Cost_{cheese}=1, Cost_{beer}=4
 - You are allowed to select only 3 items, which ones would you choose?

 $Z=10P_1 + 20P_2 + 30P_3 - 5Q_1 - 3Q_2 - 1Q_3 - 4Q_4$ s.t.

$$Q_{1} + Q_{2} + Q_{3} + Q_{4} \le 3$$
(1)

$$Q_{1} \ge P_{1}$$

$$Q_{2} \ge P_{1}$$

$$Q_{2} \ge P_{2}$$
(2)

$$Q_{3} \ge P_{2}$$

$$Q_{2} \ge P_{3}$$

$$Q_{4} \ge P_{3}$$

 $Z=10P_1 + 20P_2 + 30(1) - 5Q_1 - 3(1) - 1Q_3 - 4(1)$ s.t.

> $Q_{1} + 1 + Q_{3} + 1 \leq 3$ (1) $Q_{1} \geq P_{1}$ $1 \geq P_{1}$ $1 \geq P_{2}$ (2) $Q_{3} \geq P_{2}$ $1 \geq 1$ $1 \geq 1$

 $Z=10P_1 + 20(1) + 30(1) - 5Q_1 - 3(1) - 1(1) - 4(1)$ s.t.

$Q_1 + 1 + 1 + 1$	≤ 3	(1)
$Q_1 \ge P_1$		
$1 \ge P_1$		
$1 \ge 1$		(2)
$1 \ge 1$	cola = 0	
$1 \ge 1$	Peanuts, c	heese, beer = 1
$1 \geq 1$	Z = 42	

Remarks

-
$$m'(T) = 0$$
 for $X \subset T$

baskets containing infrequent products are out the model

- When one product x of a frequent set is not selected the total margin of the frequent set reduces to zero, even when a substitute of x is available
- Key requirement : average size of basket ≅
 size of largest frequent itemsets

Models with loss rule

• Margin of product x in selection S is corrected for not selected products

 $T = T_S \cup D$

- $m_{S}(x) = m(x) (1 csf(D,x))$
- csf is the cross selling factor
- Total Profit = Max $\sum m_{s}(x)$

One approach (Wong,Fu,Wang)

- If we have a rule that purchasing product x implies buying a product of D then the margin of T should be zero.
- The higher the confidence of that rule the more likely the margin should decrease
- $\operatorname{Csf} = \operatorname{conf}(x \Longrightarrow \exists D)$

Remarks

• Suppose product x and set D are independent

Cross selling factor should be zero or low but : conf ($x \Rightarrow \exists D$) \approx supp ($\exists D$) and supp ($\exists D$) can be large for large D

Another approach (Wang,Su)

- If we have a rule that product x will not be purchased due to the absence of products D then the margin of x a should be zero.
- The higher the confidence of that rule the more likely the margin should decrease
- $Csf = conf(not D \Rightarrow not x)$

Remarks

 Suppose product x and set D are independent Cross selling factor should be zero but : conf (not D ⇒not x) ≈ supp (not x) with supp (not x) very high

Gross Margin per Frequent Itemset for Small Market Baskets

- Key observation: average size of basket ≅ size of largest frequent itemset
- Gross margin per transaction T

$$- \mathsf{m}(T) = \sum_{i \in T} (SP(i) - PP(i)) * f(i)$$

• Gross margin per frequent itemset X

$$- M(X) = \sum_{T \in D} m'(T) \text{ with } \begin{cases} m'(T) = m(T) & \text{if } X = T \\ m'(T) = 0 & \text{if } X \neq T \end{cases}$$

Generalised Profset approach

- Key observation: average size of market basket >> size of largest frequent itemset
- How to distribute m(T) over multiple frequent subsets of T?
- Idea: Allocate the proportion of *m*(*T*) to the purchase combination that was most likely the purchase intention of the consumer at the time of purchase
 - We can't know exactly: one should have asked the consumer
 - But, we can estimate it in terms of probabilities

Gross Margin per Frequent Itemset for Large Market Baskets

- Definition: Maximal frequent subset of a transaction
 - Let *F* be the collection of all frequent subsets of *T*. Then $X \in F$ is called *maximal*, denoted as X_{max} , if and only if $\forall Y \in F$: $|Y| \leq |X|$
 - E.g. $T = \{ cola, peanuts, cheese \}$

Frequent Sets	Support	Maximal	Unique
{cola}	10%	No	No
{peanuts}	5%	No	No
{cheese}	8%	No	No
{cola, peanuts}	2%	Yes	No
{peanuts, cheese}	1%	Yes	No

Gross Margin per Frequent Itemset for Large Market Baskets

• Profit Allocation Algorithm

```
For every transaction T do {

while (T contains frequent sets) do {

Draw X from all maximal frequent subsets using

probability distribution \Theta_T;

M(X) := M(X) + m(X)

with m(X) the profit margin of X in T_r;

T := T \setminus X;

}

return all M(X):
```

- **return** all M(X);
- Probability distribution Θ_{T}

$$\Theta_T(X_{max}) = \sup(X_{max}) / \sum_{Y_{max} \in T} \sup(Y_{max})$$

Example

E.g. $T = \{ \text{cola, peanuts, cheese} \}$ with m(T) = 1\$ + 1.5\$ + 2\$ = 4.5\$

Frequent Sets	Support	Maximal	Unique
{cola}	10%	No	No
{peanuts}	5%	No	No
{cheese}	8%	No	No
{cola, peanuts}	2%	Yes	No
{peanuts, cheese}	1%	Yes	No

We draw {cola, peanuts} with probability 2/3 and {peanuts, cheese} with probability 1/3 from probability distribution $\Theta_T(X_{max})$. Suppose, {cola, peanuts} is drawn, then M(cola, peanuts):= M(cola, peanuts) = 2.5\$ and $T := T \setminus X$, i.e. $T = \{cheese\}$ Finally, M(cheese):=M(cheese)=2\$, and $T = \{\emptyset\}$

The Generalized PROFSET Model

- Let
 - C_1 , C_2 , ..., C_n be sets of items (categories)
 - L be the set of frequent sets
 - P_X , $Q_i \in \{0,1\}$ the decision variables
 - *Cost*_{*i*} be the inventory and handling cost of product *i*

• Max
$$\left(\sum_{X \in L} M(X) P_X - \sum_{c=1}^n \sum_{i \in C_c} Cost_i Q_i\right)$$

 $\sum_{c=1}^n \sum_{i \in C_c} Q_i = ItemMax$ (1)
 $\forall X \in L, \forall i \in X : Q_i \ge P_X$ (2)

$$\forall C_c: \sum_{i \in C_c} Q_i \ge ItemMin_{C_c}$$
(3)

Empirical Study

- Belgian supermarket store
 - 18182 market baskets with average size of 10.6
 - 9965 different products
 - 281 product categories
 - 3381 consumers with loyalty card
 - 87% of assortment is slow moving, i.e. sold less than once a day
- Objective
 - Determine the set of eye-catcher products that yields maximum profits from cross-selling. However, because of limited shelf-space, only one delegate product per category may be selected.

Empirical Study

- Results
 - For 25% of the categories, PROFSET selects a different product compared to the one with the highest profit ranking within each category. This must be due to cross-selling effects between eye-catcher products.
 - Profit improvements per category were between 3% and 588%

Product	Own profit (BEF)	Cross-selling profit (BEF)	Total profit (BEF)
Milky Way Mini	37808	2350	40158
Melo Cakes	34333	0	34333
Leo 3-pack	28728	0	28728
Leo 10 + 2 pack	12028	264228	276256

– Why?

Empirical Study

- People tend to buy other eye-catcher products with Leo 10+2 pack. Looking at the package size (10+2) this is not surprising since it is targeted at larger families.
- Validation by means of association rules: consumers who buy Leo 10+2 pack tend to do one-stop shopping whereas Milky Way is a single item snack and does not sell so often with other products:
 - Milky Way Mini \Rightarrow Vegetable/Fruit (sup=0.17%, conf=50.82%)
 - Meat product and Leo 10+2 pack \Rightarrow cheese product (sup=0.396%, conf=55%)

Conclusions and further research

• Conclusion

- The generalized PROFSET model for large market baskets adequatly solves the problem of product selection in big supermarkets.
- PROFSET can be easily adapted to reflect a number of category management constraints imposed by the retailer and thus provides wide flexibility to incorporate retail domain knowledge.

• Further research

- Alternative profit allocation schemes and their impact on the selection of an optimal set by PROFSET.
- Validation in real world situations.

References

- MPIS : Maximal-Profit Item selection with cross-selling considerations Chi-Wing Wong, Ada Wai-Chee Fu, Ke Wang
- Building an Association rules Framework to Improve Product Assortment decisions Data mining and knowledge discovery, 8, 7-23,2004 Tom Brijs, Gilbert Swinnen, Koen Vanhoof, Geert Wets
- A data mining framework for optimal product selection in retail supermarket data: the generalised profset model SIGKDD 2000
 Tom Brijs, Bart Goethals, Gilbert Swinnen, Koen Vanhoof, Geert Wets
- Using associations rules for product assortment decisions. A case study. SIGKDD 1999

Tom Brijs, Gilbert Swinnen, Koen Vanhoof, Geert Wets

• Item selection by 'hub-authority' profit ranking. SIGKDD 2002 Wang K., Su M.Y.